



ecology and environment, inc.

111 WEST JACKSON BLVD., CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604. TEL. 312-663-9415

International Specialists in the Environment

S.28
N.G.P.
3/28/90

MEMORANDUM

DATE: 1-4-90

TO: William Messenger, Chief Pre-Remedial Unit

FROM: Jerome D. Oskvarek, FIT Office Manager

SUBJECT: Transmittal Memorandum Identifying A Potential NFRAP Facility

CERCLIS Site Name: Morley, J.H. and Company

City: Cleveland

State: Ohio

U.S. EPA ID No.: OHD 980 613 616

SSID No.: NONE

TDD No.: F05 8706 333

PAN: FOH0676GA

THIS DOCUMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL. Due to the predecisional nature of this memorandum, this memorandum and its attachments are not to be released without prior approval of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA).

A work plan was tasked for the above-reference site; however, due to the HRS 1 preliminary and projected calculated scores, a work plan will not be prepared. The HRS worksheets are attached to this memorandum.

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5



548518

SI035(3/29/89)

HRS 1 PRELIMINARY AND PROJECTED SCORES

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE (this score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the screening site inspection):

$s_M = \underline{0}$

$s_{PE} = \underline{0}$

$s_{DC} = \underline{0}$

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR A SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (this score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the screening site inspection):

$s_M = \underline{3.88}$

$s_{PE} = \underline{0}$

$s_{DC} = \underline{62.5}$

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR A LISTING SITE INSPECTION (this score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection):

$s_M = \underline{5.28}$

$s_{PE} = \underline{0}$

$s_{DC} = \underline{62.5}$

HRS 1 score worksheets are attached to this memorandum.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The HRS 1 scores are below 25.00. As a result the site should be designated as a NFRAP facility.

COMMENTS

The FIT would like to make the following additional comments concerning the site.

The Morley, J. H. and company site scored less than 25 points on the HRS scoring system for the following reasons.

1. The site was used during the early 1900's and is currently located underneath existing railroad tracks.
2. The city of Cleveland's drinking water is supplied from Lake Erie intakes which are located at least 2.5 miles from shore.

However, despite the high direct contact score FIT does not feel an emergency response is necessary due to the nature of the site.

PRELIMINARY AND PROJECTED
HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM
SCORE WORKSHEETS

Site Name: Morley, J. H. and Company (Cordis Name)
(SAME) (A.K.A.)

Address: Champlain and Canal Streets

City/County/State/Zip Cleveland / Cuyahoga / Ohio / 44142

Cerclis ID # OH0980613616 SSID NONE

Prepared by Randy Earlywine E&E Date 1-4-90

Reviewed by J. Kolls E&E Date 1/16/90

TDD: F05 8706 333 PAN F0H06766A

Type of Document

PA _____

PA Reassessment _____

WP-SSI

WP-LSI _____

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE

$S_M =$ 0 $S_{FE} =$ 0 $S_{DC} =$ 0

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

$S_M =$ 3.88 $S_{FE} =$ 0 $S_{DC} =$ 62.5

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

$S_M =$ 5.28 $S_{FE} =$ 0 $S_{DC} =$ 62.5

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE

(THIS SCORE IS BASED ON EXISTING FILE INFORMATION THAT WAS OBTAINED PRIOR TO THE SCREENING SITE INSPECTION.)

	S	S^2
Groundwater Route Score (S_{GW})	0	0
Surface Water Route Score (S_{SW})	0	0
Air Route Score (S_A)	0	0
$S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2$	0	0
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2}$	0	0
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2} / 1.73 - S_M$	0	0

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(THIS SCORE IS BASED ON THE EXPECTED ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION FROM THE SCREENING SITE INSPECTION.)

	S	S^2
Groundwater Route Score (S_{GW})	2.09	4.37
Surface Water Route Score (S_{SW})	6.38	40.70
Air Route Score (S_A)	0	0
$S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2$	45.07	45.07
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2}$	6.71	6.71
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2} / 1.73 - S_M$	3.88	3.88

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

(THIS SCORE IS BASED ON THE EXPECTED ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION FROM THE LISTING SITE INSPECTION.)

	S	S^2
Groundwater Route Score (S_{GW})	4.47	19.98
Surface Water Route Score (S_{SW})	7.97	63.52
Air Route Score (S_A)	0	0
$S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2$	83.50	83.50
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2}$	9.14	9.14
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2} / 1.73 - S_M$	5.20	5.20

GROUNDWATER ROUTE

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET						
(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #	
[1] Observed Release	0	45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
				If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2		
[2] Route Characteristics				Aquifer Description: 1-140' grey clay & fire sand Aquifer is grey shale		
Depth to Aquifer of concern	0 1 2 3	x2	2	150 ft.	9, 11	
Net Precipitation	0 1 2 3	x1	1	Precip 34" Evap 31"	1, 11	
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	x1	2	10^{-4} cm/sec	2, 3 9, 11	
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4	
				Total Route Char. Score	5	
[3] Containment	0 1 2 3	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4	
[4] Waste Characteristics						
Persistence	0 1 2 3					
Toxicity	1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4	
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4	
				Total Waste Char. Score	0	
[5] Targets						
Groundwater Use	0 1 2 3	x3	3	USABLE BUT NOT USED	4, 8, 11	
Distance to Nearest Well	0 1 2 3 4			$\leq 3500'$	5, 9, 11	
Population Served	0 4 6 8 10 1 6 8 12 16 20 2 8 12 18 24 30 3 12 18 24 32 35 4 16 24 32 35 5 20 30 35 40	x1	0	No Target population within 3 mile radius	8	
				Total Targets Score	3	
[6] If line [1] is 45, multiply [1] x [4] x [5] If line [1] is 0, multiply [2] x [3] x [4] x [5]			0			
[7] Divide line [6] by 57,330 and multiply by 100			$S_{gw} = 0$			

GROUNDWATER ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)					
(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)					
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Observed Release	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
				If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2	
[2] Route Characteristics				Aquifer Description: 1-148' grey clay & fire sand Aquifer is grey shale	9
Depth to Aquifer of concern	0 1 2 3	x2	2	150 ft.	9, 11
Net Precipitation	0 1 2 3	x1	1	Precip 34 Evap 31	1, 11
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	x1	2	10^{-4} cm/sec	2, 3, 9, 11
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1	2	white Lead Dust	4
				Total Route Char. Score	7
[3] Containment	0 1 2 3	x1	3	Assume No lining	4
[4] Waste Characteristics					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	x1	18	White Lead Dust	4, 13
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	1	UNKNOWN	4
				Total Waste Char. Score	19
[5] Targets					
Groundwater Use	0 1 2 3	x3	3	Usable but not used	4, 8, 11
Distance to Nearest Well	0 1 2 3 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 4 6 8 10 2 0 8 12 16 20	x1		$\leq 3500'$	5, 9, 11
Population Served	3 0 12 18 24 30 4 0 16 24 32 35 5 0 20 30 35 40	x1	0	No Target population within 3 mile radius	8
				Total Targets Score	3
[6] If line [1] is 45, multiply [1] x [4] x [5] If line [1] is 0, multiply [2] x [3] x [4] x [5]			1197		
[7] Divide line [6] by 57.330 and multiply by 100				$S_{gw} = 2.09$	

GROUNDWATER ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)					
(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)					
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	
1 Observed Release	0 45	x1	45	If an observed release is documented	
				If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2	
2 Route Characteristics				Aquifer Description:	
Depth to Aquifer of concern	0 1 2 3	x2		ft.	
Net Precipitation	0 1 2 3	x1		Precip	Evap
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	x1		cm/sec	
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1			
Total Route Char. Score					
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	x1			
4 Waste Characteristics					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	x1	18	white Lead Dust	
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	1	UNKNOWN	
Total Waste Char. Score				19	
5 Targets					
Groundwater Use	0 1 2 3	x3	3	usable but not used	
Distance to Nearest Well	0 1 2 3 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 4 6 8 10 2 0 8 12 16 20 3 0 12 18 24 30 4 0 16 24 32 35 5 0 20 30 35 40			≤ 3500'	
Population Served		x1	0	No target population within 3 mile radius	
Total Targets Score				3	
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5				2565	
7 Divide line 6 by 57,330 and multiply by 100				$S_{gw} = 4.47$	

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Release	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4					
If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2					
2 Route Characteristics					
Intervening Terrain					
Facility	0 0 0 0 3 0 1 1 2 3	x1	2	Facil≤3 %	5, 11
Slope	0 1 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 3 0 2 3 3 3	x1	2	Interv 20 %	5, 11
1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall	0 1 2 3	x1	2	2.25 in.	6
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 1 2 3	x2	6	-500'	5, 11
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
Total Route Char. Score				10	
3 Containment					
0 1 2 3					
	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4	
4 Waste Characteristics					
Persistence					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
Total Waste Char. Score				0	
5 Targets					
Surface Water Use					
Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3	x3	6	Recreational: Cuyahoga River	5, 11, 12
Dist. to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	x2	0	> 1 Mile	5, 7, 11
Distance to Water Intake Downstream					
Population Served	0 0 0 0 0 0 4 6 8 10 0 8 12 16 20 0 12 18 24 30 0 16 24 32 35 0 20 30 35 40	x1	0	> 1 Mile	5, 9, 11
Total Targets Score				6	
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5					
If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5					
7 Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100 $S_{sw} = 0$					

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Release	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4					
If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2					
2 Route Characteristics	Intervening Terrain		Facil \leq 3 %		5, 11
Facility	0 0 0 0 3 0 1 1 0 3	x1	2	Interv 20 %	5, 11
Slope	0 1 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 3 0 2 3 3 3				
1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall	0 1 2 3	x1	2	2.25 in.	6
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 1 2 3	x2	6	500'	5, 11
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1	2	white Lead Dust	4
			Total Route Char. Score	12	
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	x1	3	NO DIVERSION STRUCTURE	4
4 Waste Characteristics					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	x1	18	white Lead Dust	4, 13
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	1	UNKNOWN.	4
			Total Waste Char. Score	19	
5 Targets					
Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3	x3	6	Recreational: Cuyahoga River	5, 11, 12
Dist. to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	x2	0	> 1 mile	5, 7, 11
Population Served	0 0 0 0 0 4 6 8 10 0 8 12 16 20 0 12 18 24 30 0 16 24 32 35 0 20 30 35 40	x1	0	> 1 mile	5, 9, 11
			Total Targets Score	6	No Target population within 3 mile radius
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5			4104		
7 Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100			$S_{sw} =$	6.38	

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #																				
1 Observed Release	0 45	x 1	45	If an observed re- lease is documented	4																				
If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2																									
2 Route Characteristics																									
<table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Intervening Terrain</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Facil %</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Facility</td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Slope</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Interv %</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall</td> <td style="text-align: right;">in.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Distance to Nearest Surface Water</td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Physical State</td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 1</td> </tr> </table>						Intervening Terrain	Facil %	Facility	x 1	Slope	Interv %	1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall	in.	Distance to Nearest Surface Water	x 2	Physical State	x 1								
Intervening Terrain	Facil %																								
Facility	x 1																								
Slope	Interv %																								
1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall	in.																								
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	x 2																								
Physical State	x 1																								
Total Route Char. Score																									
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	x 1																							
4 Waste Characteristics																									
<table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Persistence</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 1 2 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Toxicity</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Haz. Waste Quantity</td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 1</td> </tr> </table>						Persistence	0 1 2 3	Toxicity	0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	Haz. Waste Quantity	x 1														
Persistence	0 1 2 3																								
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18																								
Haz. Waste Quantity	x 1																								
Total Waste Char. Score 19																									
5 Targets																									
<table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Surface Water Use</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 1 2 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Dist. to Sensitive Environment</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 1 2 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6" style="text-align: center;">Distance to Water Intake Downstream</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Population Served</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 0 0 0 0 4 6 8 10 0 8 12 16 20 0 12 18 24 30 0 16 24 32 35 0 20 30 35 40</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6" style="text-align: center;">x 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Total Targets Score</td> <td style="text-align: right;">6</td> </tr> </table>						Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3	Dist. to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	Distance to Water Intake Downstream						Population Served	0 0 0 0 0 4 6 8 10 0 8 12 16 20 0 12 18 24 30 0 16 24 32 35 0 20 30 35 40	x 3						Total Targets Score	6
Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3																								
Dist. to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3																								
Distance to Water Intake Downstream																									
Population Served	0 0 0 0 0 4 6 8 10 0 8 12 16 20 0 12 18 24 30 0 16 24 32 35 0 20 30 35 40																								
x 3																									
Total Targets Score	6																								
<table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Recreational: Cuyahoga River</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5, 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">> 1 mile</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5, 7, 11</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">> 1 mile</td> <td style="text-align: right;">5, 9, 11</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">No Target Population within 3 mile radius</td> <td style="text-align: right;">8</td> </tr> </table>						Recreational: Cuyahoga River	5, 12	> 1 mile	5, 7, 11	> 1 mile	5, 9, 11	No Target Population within 3 mile radius	8												
Recreational: Cuyahoga River	5, 12																								
> 1 mile	5, 7, 11																								
> 1 mile	5, 9, 11																								
No Target Population within 3 mile radius	8																								
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 2 x 5																									
5130																									
7 Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100 $S_{sw} = 7.97$																									

AIR ROUTE

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Observed Release	0 45	x1			
If line [1] is 0, the $S_a = 0$. Enter on line [5] If line [1] is 45, then proceed to line [2]					
[2] Waste Characteristics					
Reactivity & Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	x1			
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x3			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets			Dist to Population		
Population within 4-mile Radius	Pop.	0 9 12 15 18 12 15 18 21 15 18 21 24 18 21 24 27 21 24 27 30	x1		
Distance to Sensitive Environment		0 1 2 3	x2		
Land Use		0 1 2 3	x1		
Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]					
[5] Divide line [4] by 35,100 and multiply by 100			$S_a = 0$		

Alleged waste site is currently buried under Cleveland Union Terminal's Railroad Tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT feels that the possibility of an Air-Route is unlikely.

AIR ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Observed Release	0 45	x1			
If line [1] is 0, the $S_a = 0$. Enter on line [5] If line [1] is 45, then proceed to line [2]					
[2] Waste Characteristics					
Reactivity & Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	x1			
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x3			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets					
Dist to Population					
Population within 4-mile Radius	Pop.	0 0 0 0 9 12 15 18 12 15 18 21 15 18 21 24 18 21 24 27 21 24 27 30	x1		
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	x2			
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x1			
Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]					
[5] Divide line [4] by 35,100 and multiply by 100					
$S_a = 0$					

Alleged waste site is currently buried under Cleveland Union Terminal's Railroad Tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT feels that the possibility of an Air Route is unlikely.

AIR ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)					
(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)					
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Observed Release	0 45	x1			
If line [1] is 0, the $S_a = 0$. Enter on line [5]. If line [1] is 45, then proceed to line [2]					
[2] Waste Characteristics					
Reactivity & Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	x1			
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x3			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets					
Dist to Population					
Population within 4-mile Radius	0	0	0	0	
	9	12	15	18	
	12	15	18	21	
	15	18	21	24	
	18	21	24	27	
	21	24	27	30	
	Pop.				
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	x2			
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x1			
Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]					
[5] Divide line [4] by 35,100 and multiply by 100					
				$S_a = 0$	

Alleged waste site is currently buried under Cleveland Union Terminal's Railroad Tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT feels that the possibility of an Air-Route is unlikely.

FIRE AND EXPLOSION

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Containment	1 3	x1			
[2] Waste Characteristics					
Direct Evidence	0 3	x1			
Ignitability	0 1 2 3	x1			
Reactivity	0 1 2 3	x1			
Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	x1			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets					
Dist. to Nearest Pop.	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Dist. to Nearest Bldg.	0 1 2 3	x1			
Dist. to Sensitive Env.	0 1 2 3	x1			
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x1			
Pop. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Bldgs. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]					
[5] Divide line [4] by 1,440 and multiply by 100			$S_{FE} =$	0	

Alleged waste site is currently buried under existing railroad tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT does not foresee any potential for a fire or explosion.

FIRE AND EXPLOSION

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)						
(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)		Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Containment	1	3	x1			
[2] Waste Characteristics						
Direct Evidence	0	3	x1			
Ignitability	0	1	2	3		
Reactivity	0	1	2	3		
Incompatibility	0	1	2	3		
Haz. Waste Quantity	0	1	2	3	4	5
	6	7	8	x1		
	Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets						
Dist. to Nearest Pop.	0	1	2	3	4	5
Dist. to Nearest Bldg.	0	1	2	3		
Dist. to Sensitive Env.	0	1	2	3		
Land Use	0	1	2	3		
Pop. Within 2 miles	0	1	2	3	4	5
Bldgs. Within 2 miles	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]						
[5] Divide line [4] by 1,440 and multiply by 100						$S_{FE} = 0$

Alleged waste site is currently buried under existing railroad tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT does not foresee any potential for a fire or explosion.

FIRE AND EXPLOSION

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Containment	1 3	x1			
[2] Waste Characteristics					
Direct-Evidence	0 3	x1			
Ignitability	0 1 2 3	x1			
Reactivity	0 1 2 3	x1			
Incompatability	0 1 2 3	x1			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets					
Dist. to Nearest Pop.	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Dist. to Nearest Bldg.	0 1 2 3	x1			
Dist. to Sensitive Env.	0 1 2 3	x1			
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x1			
Pop. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Bldgs. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]					
[5] Divide line [4] by 1,440 and multiply by 100 $S_{FE} = 0$					

Alleged waste site is currently buried under existing railroad tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT does not foresee any potential for a fire or explosion.

DIRECT CONTACT

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
① Observed Incident	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If line ① is 45, proceed to line ④ If line ① is 0, proceed to line ②					
② Accessibility	0 1 2 ③	x1	3	Fencing does not cover site	4, 11
③ Containment	0 15	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
④ Waste Characteristics					
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x5	0	UNKNOWN	4
⑤ Targets					
Pop. Within 1 mile	0 1 2 3 4 ⑤	x4	20	population within 1 mile radius \approx 21,000	10
Dist. to Crit. Habitat	⑥ 1 2 3	x4	0	> 1 Mile	5
	Total Targets Score		20		
⑥ If line ① is 45, multiply ① x ④ x ⑤ If line ① is 0, multiply ② x ③ x ④ x ⑤			0		
⑦ Divide line ⑥ by 21,600 and multiply by 100			S _{DC} = 0		

DIRECT CONTACT

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
① Observed Incident	0	45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data 4
If line ① is 45, proceed to line ④ If line ① is 0, proceed to line ②					
② Accessibility	0 1 2 ③	x1	3	Fencing does not cover site	4, 11
③ Containment	0 ⑤	x1	15	Assume inadequate containers	4
④ Waste Characteristics					
Toxicity	0 1 2 ③	x5	15	White Lead dust	4, 13
⑤ Targets					
Pop. Within 1 mile	0 1 2 3 4 ⑤	x4	20	Population within 1 mile radius \approx 24,000	10
Dist. to Crit. Habitat	0 1 2 3	x4	0	> 1 mile	5
	Total Targets Score		20		
⑥ If line ① is 45, multiply ① x ④ x ⑤ If line ① is 0, multiply ② x ③ x ④ x ⑤			13,500		
⑦ Divide line ⑥ by 21,600 and multiply by 100				$S_{DC} = 62.5$	

DIRECT CONTACT

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)					
(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)					
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Incident	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If line 1 is 45, proceed to line 4 If line 1 is 0, proceed to line 2					
2 Accessibility	0 1 2 3	x1	3	fencing does not cover site	4,11
3 Containment	0 15	x1	15	Assume inadequate containers	4
4 Waste Characteristics					
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x5	15	white Lead Dust	4,13
5 Targets					
Pop. Within 1 mile	0 1 2 3 4 5	x4	20	population within 1 mile radius \approx 24,000	10
Dist. to Crit. Habitat	0 1 2 3	x4	0	> 1 mile	5
	Total Targets Score		20		
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5			13,500		
7 Divide line 6 by 21,600 and multiply by 100				$S_{DC} = 62.5$	

REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION SHEET

Ref.#	DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE
1	Climatic Atlas of the United States, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, National Climatic Center, Asheville N.C., 1979. Figures 4 and 5.
2	Davis S.N., Porosity and Permeability of Natural Materials in Flow-Through Porous Media R.J.M. De West ed., Academic Press, New York, 1969
3	Freeze, R.A. and J.A. Cherry, Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New York, 1979
4.	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Potential Hazardous Waste Site Preliminary Assessment for T.H. Morley and Company Cleveland, Ohio ID# UHD980 613 616 Prepared by Pam Wicks, 6-22-B4.

REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION SHEET

Ref. #	DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE
5.	U.S. Geological Survey, 1984 Cleveland South Quadrangle 7.5 min. Series (Topographic) 1: 24,000.
6	Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States, 1963, Technical Paper 40, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Wash. D.C.
7	EPA code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR Part 230, Appendix A, 1980.
8	Jeffries, Jim. Chief of water puri- fication, Cleveland Water Works. (216) 664-3324. "100% of Cleveland's drinking water is obtained from Lake Erie." Info. obtained 2-18-86 by Steve Wisbaum

REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION SHEET

Ref. #	DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE
9	Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Water, Cuyahoga County, Ohio. Well log and Drilling Report # 698451
10	Godwin, Jean. Research Department of the Greater Cleveland Growth Association. (216) 621-3300 "23,707 people in 1 mile radius" Info obtained 2-18-86 by Steve Wisbaum.
11	Cleveland Cityhall, Survey and Platts Dept. (216) 664-2000. "In 1901 site existed at the corner of Canal and Cham- plain Streets ... However, Champlain Street no longer exists, but an 1898 map in- dicates intersection is currently buried beneath railroad tracks." These tracks are owned by the Cleveland Union Terminal Company. 1-2-90

REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION SHEET

Ref. #	DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE
12	<p>Ohio Dept. of Natural Resources Division of Watercraft Fountain Square C-2. Columbus Ohio 43224 Phone (614) 265-6490</p>
13	<p>Sax, Irving. <u>Dangerous Problems</u> <u>of Industrial Materials.</u> 6th Ed., 1984</p>

SOURCES AND DATES OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

SOURCE	DATE
1) State Hazardous/Solid Waste Files	
2) State Water Files	
3) State Air Files	
4) State Department of Health	
5) State Geological Survey	
6) State Department of Natural Resources	
7) State Fire Marshall	
8) County Department of Health	
9) County Engineer	
10) County Clerk/Recorder of Deeds	1-2-90
11) City Department of Health	
12) City Engineer	
13) City Fire Department/Fire Marshall	
14) City Water/Sever Department	2-10-90
15) U.S. Soil Conservation Service	
16) Others	
STATE CONTACT(S): _____	
(name)	

(name)	

(phone number)	

(phone number)	

EPA ID# DHD 980 613 616
SITE NAME Morley S.H. & Co.

NORLING FACTOR _____ (> 15 PTS.=HIGH MITRE POTENTIAL)

WASTE TYPE

WASTE QUANTITY

(1 ton = $\frac{1}{3}$ cu.yd.=13.5 cu.ft.=4 drums = 220 gals.)

PESTICIDES (5)
HEAVY METALS (5)
PCB's (5)
ORGANICS (4)
SOLVENTS (4)
INORGANICS (3)
ACIDS (3)
BASES (3)
MIXED MUNI-WASTES (2)
UNKNOWN (1)

	TONS	CU.YDS.	CU. FT.	DRUMS	GALLONS	PTS.
>875	>437	>11,799	>3500	>192,500	>192,500	(5)
875	437	11,799	3500	192,500		
251	126	3,402	1001	55,055	55,055	(4)
250	125	3,375	1000	55,000		
163	82	2,214	651	35,805	35,805	(3)
162	81	2,187	650	35,750		
76	38	1,026	301	16,555	16,555	(2)
75	37	999	300	16,500		
21	11	297	81	4,455	4,455	(1)
<20	<10	<270	<80	<4,400	<4,400	(0)

FACILITY TYPE

POPULATION

Cleveland

PILES (5)
DRUMS BELOW GROUND (4) 10,000 or more (5)
DRUMS ABOVE GROUND (3) 3,000 - 9,999 (4)
SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS (3) 1,000 - 2,999 (3) (750,903)
TANKS (3) 101 - 999 (2)
LANDFILLS (2) 1 - 100 (1)
LAND TREATMENT (2)
UNDERGROUND INJECTION (1)

NOT A HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE

INFORMATION MISSING

COMMENTS: *Waste Quantity and Facility Type not shown.*

REVIEWER: *M Elam /C.M.*

EPA ID# OH D 980 613 616
SITE NAME Morley S.H. & Co.

NORLING FACTOR _____ (> 15 PTS.=HIGH MITRE POTENTIAL)

WASTE TYPE

WASTE QUANTITY

(1 ton = $\frac{1}{3}$ cu.yd.=13.5 cu.ft.=4 drums = 220 gals.)

PESTICIDES (5)
HEAVY METALS (5)
PCB's (5)
ORGANICS (4)
SOLVENTS (4)
INORGANICS (3)
ACIDS (3)
BASES (3)
MIXED MUNI-WASTES (2)
UNKNOWN (1)

	TONS	CU.YDS.	CU. FT.	DRUMS	GALLONS	PTS.
PCB's	>875	>437	>11,799	>3500	>192,500	(5)
ORGANICS	875	437	11,799	3500	192,500	
SOLVENTS	251	126	3,402	1001	55,055	(4)
INORGANICS	250	125	3,375	1000	55,000	
ACIDS	163	82	2,214	651	35,805	(3)
BASES	162	81	2,187	650	35,750	
MIXED MUNI-WASTES	76	38	1,026	301	16,555	(2)
UNKNOWN	75	37	999	300	16,500	
	21	11	297	81	4,455	(1)
	<20	<10	<270	<80	<4,400	(0)

FACILITY TYPE

POPULATION

Cleveland

PILES (5)
DRUMS BELOW GROUND (4) 10,000 or more (5)
DRUMS ABOVE GROUND (3) 3,000 - 9,999 (4)
SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS (3) 1,000 - 2,999 (3)
TANKS (3) 101 - 999 (2)
LANDFILLS (2) 1 - 100 (1)
LAND TREATMENT (2)
UNDERGROUND INJECTION (1)

(150,903)

NOT A HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE

INFORMATION MISSING

COMMENTS: *Waste Quantity and Facility Type not shown.*

REVIEWER: *M Elam/C.J.M.*



ecology and environment, inc.

111 WEST JACKSON BLVD., CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604, TEL. 312-663-9415

International Specialists in the Environment

MEMORANDUM

Original

DATE: 1-4-90

TO: William Messenger, Chief Pre-Remedial Un

FROM: Jerome D. Oskvarek, FIT Office Manager

SUBJECT: Transmittal Memorandum Identifying A Potential NFRAP
Facilit

CERCLIS Site Name: Morley, J.H. and Company

City: Cleveland

State: Ohio

U.S. EPA ID No.: OHD 980 613 616

SSID No.: NONE

TDD No.: F05 8706 333

PAN: FOH 0676 GA

THIS DOCUMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL. Due to the predecisional nature of this memorandum, this memorandum and its attachments are not to be released without prior approval of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA).

A work plan was tasked for the above-reference site; however, due to the HRS 1 preliminary and projected calculated scores, a work plan will not be prepared. The HRS worksheets are attached to this memorandum.

HRS 1 PRELIMINARY AND PROJECTED SCORES

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE (this score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the screening site inspection):

$S_M = 0$

$S_{FE} = 0$

$S_{DC} = 0$

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR A SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (this score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the screening site inspection):

$S_M = 3.88$

$S_{FE} = 0$

$S_{DC} = 62.5$

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR A LISTING SITE INSPECTION (this score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection):

$S_M = 5.28$

$S_{FE} = 0$

$S_{DC} = 62.5$

HRS 1 score worksheets are attached to this memorandum.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The HRS 1 scores are below 25.00. As a result the site should be designated as a NFRAP facility.

COMMENTS

The FIT would like to make the following additional comments concerning the site.

The Morley, J. H. and company site scored less than 25 points on the HRS scoring system for the following reasons.

1. The site was used during the early 1900's and is currently located underneath existing railroad tracks.
2. The city of Cleveland's drinking water is supplied from Lake Erie intakes which are located at least 2.5 miles from shore.

However, despite the high direct contact score FIT does not feel an emergency response is necessary due to the nature of the site.

PRELIMINARY AND PROJECTED
HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM
SCORE WORKSHEETS

Site Name: Morley, J. H. and Company (Cerdis Name)

(SAME) (A.K.A.)

Address: Champlain and Canal Streets

City/County/State/Zip Cleveland / Cuyahoga / Ohio / 44142

Cerdis ID # OH0980613616 SSID NONE

Prepared by Randy Earlywine E&E Date 1-4-90

Reviewed by J. Koll E&E Date 1/16/90

TDD: F05 8706 333 PAN F0406766A

Type of Document

PA

PA Reassessment

WP-SSI

✓

WP-LSI

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE

$S_M =$ 0

$S_{FE} =$ 0

$S_{DC} =$ 0

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

$S_M =$ 3.88

$S_{FE} =$ 0

$S_{DC} =$ 62.5

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

$S_M =$ 5.28

$S_{FE} =$ 0

$S_{DC} =$ 62.5

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE

(THIS SCORE IS BASED ON EXISTING FILE INFORMATION THAT WAS OBTAINED PRIOR TO THE SCREENING SITE INSPECTION.)

	S	S^2
Groundwater Route Score (S_{GW})	0	0
Surface Water Route Score (S_{SW})	0	0
Air Route Score (S_A)	0	0
$S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2$	(diagonal hatching)	0
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2}$	(diagonal hatching)	0
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2} / 1.73 - S_M =$	(diagonal hatching)	0

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(THIS SCORE IS BASED ON THE EXPECTED ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION FROM THE SCREENING SITE INSPECTION.)

	S	S^2
Groundwater Route Score (S_{GW})	2.09	4.37
Surface Water Route Score (S_{SW})	6.38	40.70
Air Route Score (S_A)	0	0
$S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2$	(diagonal hatching)	45.07
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2}$	(diagonal hatching)	6.71
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2} / 1.73 - S_M =$	(diagonal hatching)	3.88

PROJECTED HRS SCORE FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

(THIS SCORE IS BASED ON THE EXPECTED ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION FROM THE LISTING SITE INSPECTION.)

	S	S^2
Groundwater Route Score (S_{GW})	4.47	19.98
Surface Water Route Score (S_{SW})	7.97	63.52
Air Route Score (S_A)	0	0
$S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2$	(diagonal hatching)	83.50
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2}$	(diagonal hatching)	9.14
$\sqrt{S_{GW}^2 + S_{SW}^2 + S_A^2} / 1.73 - S_M =$	(diagonal hatching)	5.28

GROUNDWATER ROUTE

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Release	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
				If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2	
2 Route Characteristics				Aquifer Description: 1-140' grey clay & fire sand Aquifer is grey shale	
Depth to Aquifer of concern	0 1 2 3	x2	2	150 ft.	9, 11
Net Precipitation	0 1 2 3	x1	1	Precip 34" Evap 31"	1, 11
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	x1	2	10^{-4} cm/sec	2, 3 9, 11
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
				Total Route Char. Score	5
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
4 Waste Characteristics					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
				Total Waste Char. Score	0
5 Targets					
Groundwater Use	0 1 2 3	x3	3	USABLE BUT NOT USED	4, 8, 11
Distance to Nearest Well	0 1 2 3 4			$\leq 3500'$	5, 9, 11
Population Served	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1	0	No Target population within 3 mile radius	8
				Total Targets Score	3
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5				0	
7 Divide line 6 by 57,330 and multiply by 100				$S_{gw} = 0$	

GROUNDWATER ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)					
(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)					
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Release	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2					
2 Route Characteristics				Aquifer Description: 1-148' grey clay & fire sand Aquifer is grey shale	9
Depth to Aquifer of concern	0 1 2 3	x2	2	150 ft.	9, 11
Net Precipitation	0 1 2 3	x1	1	Precip 34 Evap 31	1, 11
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	x1	2	10^{-4} cm/sec	2, 3, 9, 11
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1	2	white Lead Dust	4
Total Route Char. Score				7	
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	x1	3	Assume No lining	4
4 Waste Characteristics					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	x1	18	White Lead Dust	4, 13
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	1	UNKNOWN	4
Total Waste Char. Score				19	
5 Targets					
Groundwater Use	0 1 2 3	x3	3	Usable but not used	4, 8, 11
Distance to Nearest Well	0 1 2 3 4			$\leq 3500'$	5, 9, 11
Population Served	0 0 0 0 1 4 6 8 10 2 0 8 12 16 20 3 0 12 18 24 30 4 0 16 24 32 35 5 0 20 30 35 40	x1	0	No Target population within 3 mile radius	8
Total Targets Score				3	
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5			1197		
7 Divide line 6 by 57,330 and multiply by 100				$S_{gw} = 2.09$	

recycled paper

ecology and environment

GROUNDWATER ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)					
(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)					
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Release	0 45	x1	45	If an observed release is documented	4
			If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2		
2 Route Characteristics			Aquifer Description:		
Depth to Aquifer of concern	0 1 2 3	x2		ft.	
Net Precipitation	0 1 2 3	x1	Precip	Evap	
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	x1	cm/sec		
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1			
			Total Route Char. Score		
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	x1			
4 Waste Characteristics					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	x1	18	White Lead Dust	4,13
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	1	UNKNOWN	4
			Total Waste Char. Score	19	
5 Targets					
Groundwater Use	0 1 2 3	x3	3	usable but not used	4,8,11
Distance to Nearest Well	0 1 2 3 4		$\leq 3500'$ 5,9,11		
Population Served	0 0 0 0 1 0 4 6 8 10 2 0 8 12 16 20 3 0 12 18 24 30 4 0 16 24 32 35 5 0 20 30 35 40	x1	0	No target population within 3 mile radius	8
			Total Targets Score	3	
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5	2565				
7 Divide line 6 by 57,330 and multiply by 100	S _{gw} = 4.47				

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #																				
1 Observed Release	0 45	x 1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4																				
If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2																									
2 Route Characteristics																									
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>Intervening Terrain</td></tr> <tr><td>Facility</td><td>0 0 0 0 3</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>0 1 1 2 3</td></tr> <tr><td>Slope</td><td>0 1 2 2 3</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>0 2 2 3 3</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>0 2 3 3 3</td></tr> <tr><td>1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall</td><td>0 1 2 3</td></tr> <tr><td>Distance to Nearest Surface Water</td><td>0 1 2 3</td></tr> <tr><td>Physical State</td><td>0 1 2 3</td></tr> </table>						Intervening Terrain	Facility	0 0 0 0 3		0 1 1 2 3	Slope	0 1 2 2 3		0 2 2 3 3		0 2 3 3 3	1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall	0 1 2 3	Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 1 2 3	Physical State	0 1 2 3			
Intervening Terrain																									
Facility	0 0 0 0 3																								
	0 1 1 2 3																								
Slope	0 1 2 2 3																								
	0 2 2 3 3																								
	0 2 3 3 3																								
1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall	0 1 2 3																								
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 1 2 3																								
Physical State	0 1 2 3																								
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>Facile 3 %</td></tr> <tr><td>x 1 2</td></tr> <tr><td>Interv 20 %</td></tr> <tr><td>x 1 2</td></tr> <tr><td>2.25 in.</td></tr> <tr><td>x 2 6</td></tr> <tr><td>-500'</td></tr> <tr><td>x 1 0</td></tr> <tr><td>UNKNOWN</td></tr> </table>						Facile 3 %	x 1 2	Interv 20 %	x 1 2	2.25 in.	x 2 6	-500'	x 1 0	UNKNOWN											
Facile 3 %																									
x 1 2																									
Interv 20 %																									
x 1 2																									
2.25 in.																									
x 2 6																									
-500'																									
x 1 0																									
UNKNOWN																									
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>Total Route Char. Score</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td></tr> </table>						Total Route Char. Score	10																		
Total Route Char. Score																									
10																									
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	x 1	0	UNKNOWN	4																				
4 Waste Characteristics																									
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>Persistence</td><td>0 1 2 3</td></tr> <tr><td>Toxicity</td><td>0 0 0 0 0</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>1 3 6 9 12</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>2 6 9 12 15</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>3 9 12 15 18</td></tr> <tr><td>Haz. Waste Quantity</td><td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td></tr> </table>						Persistence	0 1 2 3	Toxicity	0 0 0 0 0		1 3 6 9 12		2 6 9 12 15		3 9 12 15 18	Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8								
Persistence	0 1 2 3																								
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 0																								
	1 3 6 9 12																								
	2 6 9 12 15																								
	3 9 12 15 18																								
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8																								
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>x 1 0</td></tr> <tr><td>x 1 0</td></tr> <tr><td>Total Waste Char. Score</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td></tr> </table>						x 1 0	x 1 0	Total Waste Char. Score	0																
x 1 0																									
x 1 0																									
Total Waste Char. Score																									
0																									
5 Targets																									
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>Surface Water Use</td><td>0 1 2 3</td></tr> <tr><td>Dist. to Sensitive Environment</td><td>0 1 2 3</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Distance to Water Intake Downstream</td></tr> <tr><td>Population Served</td><td>0 0 0 0 0</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>0 4 6 8 10</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>0 8 12 16 20</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>0 12 18 24 30</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>0 16 24 32 35</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td>0 20 30 35 40</td></tr> <tr><td>Total Targets Score</td><td>6</td></tr> </table>						Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3	Dist. to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	Distance to Water Intake Downstream		Population Served	0 0 0 0 0		0 4 6 8 10		0 8 12 16 20		0 12 18 24 30		0 16 24 32 35		0 20 30 35 40	Total Targets Score	6
Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3																								
Dist. to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3																								
Distance to Water Intake Downstream																									
Population Served	0 0 0 0 0																								
	0 4 6 8 10																								
	0 8 12 16 20																								
	0 12 18 24 30																								
	0 16 24 32 35																								
	0 20 30 35 40																								
Total Targets Score	6																								
<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>x 3 6</td></tr> <tr><td>x 2 0</td></tr> <tr><td>Recreational: Cuyahoga River</td></tr> <tr><td>> 1 Mile</td></tr> <tr><td>> 1 Mile</td></tr> <tr><td>x 1 0</td></tr> <tr><td>No Target Population within 3 mile radius</td></tr> </table>						x 3 6	x 2 0	Recreational: Cuyahoga River	> 1 Mile	> 1 Mile	x 1 0	No Target Population within 3 mile radius													
x 3 6																									
x 2 0																									
Recreational: Cuyahoga River																									
> 1 Mile																									
> 1 Mile																									
x 1 0																									
No Target Population within 3 mile radius																									
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5																									
7 Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100 $S_{sw} = \textcircled{0}$																									

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
① Observed Release	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2					
② Route Characteristics					
Intervening Terrain				Facile 3 %	5, 11
Facility	0 0 0 3 0 1 1 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 2 3 0 2 3 3	x1	2	Interv 20 %	5, 11
Slope					
1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall	0 1 2 3	x1	2	2.25 in.	6
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 1 2 3	x2	6	500'	5, 11
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1	2	white Lead Dust	4
Total Route Char. Score			12		
③ Containment	0 1 2 3	x1	3	NO DIVERSION STRUCTURE	4
④ Waste Characteristics					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 1 3 6 9 12 2 6 9 12 15 3 9 12 15 18	x1	18	white Lead Dust	4, 13
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	1	UNKNOWN	4
Total Waste Char. Score			19		
⑤ Targets					
Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3	x3	6	Recreational: Cuyahoga River	5, 11, 12
Dist. to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	x2	0	> 1 mile	5, 7, 11
Distance to Water Intake Downstream					
Population Served	0 0 0 0 0 4 6 8 10 0 8 12 16 20 0 12 18 24 30 0 16 24 32 35 0 20 30 35 40	x1	0	> 1 mile	5, 9, 11
Total Targets Score			6	No Target population within 3-mile radius	8
⑥ If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5			4104		
⑦ Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100				$S_{sw} = 6.38$	

SURFACE WATER ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Release	0 45	x1	45	If an observed re- lease is documented	4
If Observed Release scores 45 proceed to line 4 If Observed Release scores 0 proceed to line 2					
2 Route Characteristics	Intervening Terrain			Facil %	
Facility	0 0 0 0 3	x1		Interv %	
Slope	0 1 1 2 3				
0 1 2 2 3					
0 2 2 3 3					
0 2 3 3 3					
1-yr. 24 hr Rainfall	0 1 2 3	x1		in.	
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 1 2 3	x2			
Physical State	0 1 2 3	x1			
Total Route Char. Score					
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	x1			
4 Waste Characteristics					
Persistence	0 1 2 3				
Toxicity	0 0 0 0 0				
1 3 6 9 12					
2 6 9 12 15					
3 9 12 15 18			18	white lead dust	4, 13
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1	1	UNKNOWN	4
Total Waste Char. Score					
5 Targets					
Surface Water Use	0 1 2 3	x3	6	Recreational: Cuyahoga River	5, 12
Dist. to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	x2	0	> 1 mile	5, 7, 11
Population Served	0 0 0 0 0			> 1 mile	5, 9, 11
0 4 6 8 10					
0 8 12 16 20					
0 12 18 24 30					
0 16 24 32 35					
0 20 30 35 40					
Total Targets Score					
6					
If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5					
If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 2 x 5					
5130					
7 Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100 $S_{sw} = 7.97$					

AIR ROUTE

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Release	0 45	x1			
If line 1 is 0, the $S_a = 0$. Enter on line 5 If line 1 is 45, then proceed to line 2					
2 Waste Characteristics					
Reactivity & Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	x1			
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x3			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
3 Targets					
Dist to Population					
Population within 4-mile Radius	Pop.	0 0 0 0 9 12 15 18 12 15 18 21 15 18 21 24 18 21 24 27 21 24 27 30	x1		
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	x2			
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x1			
Total Targets Score					
4 Multiply 1 x 2 x 3					
5 Divide line 4 by 35,100 and multiply by 100 $S_a = 0$					

Alleged waste site is currently buried under Cleveland Union Terminal's Rail-road Tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT feels that the possibility of an Air-Route is unlikely.

AIR ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #																								
[1] Observed Release	0 45	x1																											
If line [1] is 0, the $S_a = 0$. Enter on line [5]. If line [1] is 45, then proceed to line [2].																													
[2] Waste Characteristics																													
Reactivity & Incompatability	0 1 2 3	x1																											
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x3																											
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1																											
Total Waste Char. Score																													
[3] Targets																													
Dist to Population <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>12</td><td>15</td><td>18</td></tr> <tr><td>12</td><td>15</td><td>18</td><td>21</td></tr> <tr><td>15</td><td>18</td><td>21</td><td>24</td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td>21</td><td>24</td><td>27</td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td>24</td><td>27</td><td>30</td></tr> </table>						0	0	0	0	9	12	15	18	12	15	18	21	15	18	21	24	18	21	24	27	21	24	27	30
0	0	0	0																										
9	12	15	18																										
12	15	18	21																										
15	18	21	24																										
18	21	24	27																										
21	24	27	30																										
Population within 4-mile Radius	Pop.	x1																											
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	x2																											
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x1																											
Total Targets Score																													
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]																													
[5] Divide line [4] by 35,100 and multiply by 100 $S_a = 0$																													

Alleged waste site is currently buried under Cleveland Union Terminal's Railroad Tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT feels that the possibility of an Air Route is unlikely.

AIR ROUTE

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Observed Release	0 45	x1			
If line [1] is 0, the $S_a = 0$. Enter on line [5] If line [1] is 45, then proceed to line [2]					
[2] Waste Characteristics					
Reactivity & Incompatability	0 1 2 3	x1			
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x3			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets					
Population within 4-mile Radius	Pop.	Dist to Population			
		0 0 0 0			
		9 12 15 18			
		12 15 18 21			
		15 18 21 24			
		18 21 24 27			
		21 24 27 30			
Distance to Sensitive Environment		x1			
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x2			
Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]					
[5] Divide line [4] by 35,100 and multiply by 100 $S_a = 0$					

Alleged waste site is currently buried under Cleveland Union Terminal's Railroad Tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT feels that the possibility of an Air - Route is unlikely.

FIRE AND EXPLOSION

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Containment	1 3	x1			
[2] Waste Characteristics					
Direct Evidence	0 3	x1			
Ignitability	0 1 2 3	x1			
Reactivity	0 1 2 3	x1			
Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	x1			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets					
Dist. to Nearest Pop.	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Dist. to Nearest Bldg.	0 1 2 3	x1			
Dist. to Sensitive Env.	0 1 2 3	x1			
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x1			
Pop. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Bldgs. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]					
[5] Divide line [4] by 1,440 and multiply by 100 $S_{FE} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$					

Alleged waste site is currently buried under existing railroad tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT does not foresee any potential for a fire or explosion.

FIRE AND EXPLOSION

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)		Multi-pier	Score	Description	Ref. #
① Containment	1	3	x1			
② Waste Characteristics						
Direct Evidence	0	3	x1			
Ignitability	0	1 2 3	x1			
Reactivity	0	1 2 3	x1			
Incompatibility	0	1 2 3	x1			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		x1			
	Total Waste Char. Score					
③ Targets						
Dist. to Nearest Pop.	0 1 2 3 4 5		x1			
Dist. to Nearest Bldg.	0 1 2 3		x1			
Dist. to Sensitive Env.	0 1 2 3		x1			
Land Use	0 1 2 3		x1			
Pop. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5		x1			
Bldgs. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5		x1			
	Total Targets Score					
④ Multiply ① x ② x ③						
⑤ Divide line ④ by 1,440 and multiply by 100				$S_{FE} = 0$		

Alleged waste site is currently buried under existing railroad tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT does not foresee any potential for a fire or explosion.

FIRE AND EXPLOSION

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Containment	1 3	x1			
[2] Waste Characteristics					
Direct Evidence	0 3	x1			
Ignitability	0 1 2 3	x1			
Reactivity	0 1 2 3	x1			
Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	x1			
Haz. Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	x1			
Total Waste Char. Score					
[3] Targets					
Dist. to Nearest Pop.	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Dist. to Nearest Bldg.	0 1 2 3	x1			
Dist. to Sensitive Env.	0 1 2 3	x1			
Land Use	0 1 2 3	x1			
Pop. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Bldgs. Within 2 miles	0 1 2 3 4 5	x1			
Total Targets Score					
[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]					
[5] Divide line [4] by 1,440 and multiply by 100 $S_{FE} = 0$					

Alleged waste site is currently buried under existing railroad tracks (see reference 11). Therefore, FIT does not foresee any potential for a fire or explosion.

DIRECT CONTACT

PRELIMINARY HRS SCORE WORKSHEET

(This score is based on existing file information that was obtained prior to the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
① Observed Incident	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If line ① is 45, proceed to line ④ If line ① is 0, proceed to line ②					
② Accessibility	0 1 2 ③	x1	3	Fencing does not cover site	4, 11
③ Containment	⑥ 15	x1	0	UNKNOWN	4
④ Waste Characteristics					
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x5	0	UNKNOWN	4
⑤ Targets					
Pop. Within 1 mile	0 1 2 3 4 ⑤	x4	20	Population within 1 mile radius ≈ 21,000	10
Dist. to Crit. Habitat	⑥ 1 2 3	x4	0	> 1 Mile	5
	Total Targets Score		20		
⑥ If line ① is 45, multiply ① x ④ x ⑤ If line ① is 0, multiply ② x ③ x ④ x ⑤			0		
⑦ Divide line ⑥ by 21,600 and multiply by 100		$S_{DC} =$	0		

DIRECT CONTACT

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR SCREENING SITE INSPECTION (SSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Screening Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
[1] Observed Incident	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If line [1] is 45, proceed to line [4]					
If line [1] is 0, proceed to line [2]					
[2] Accessibility	0 1 2 3	x1	3	fencing does not cover site	4, 11
[3] Containment	0 15	x1	15	Assume inadequate containers	4
[4] Waste Characteristics					
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x5	15	white Lead dust	4, 13
[5] Targets					
Pop. Within 1 mile	0 1 2 3 4 5	x4	20	Population within 1 mile radius \approx 24,000	10
Dist. to Crit. Habitat	0 1 2 3	x4	0	> 1 mile	5
	Total Targets Score		20		
[6] If line [1] is 45, multiply [1] x [4] x [5]					
If line [1] is 0, multiply [2] x [3] x [4] x [5]			13,500		
[7] Divide line [6] by 21,600 and multiply by 100				$S_{DC} = 62.5$	

DIRECT CONTACT

PROJECTED HRS SCORE WORKSHEET FOR LISTING SITE INSPECTION (LSI)

(This score is based on the expected acquisition of information from the Listing Site Inspection.)

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Description	Ref. #
1 Observed Incident	0 45	x1	0	Alleged but not documented data	4
If line 1 is 45, proceed to line 4 If line 1 is 0, proceed to line 2					
2 Accessibility	0 1 2 3	x1	3	Fencing does not cover site	4,11
3 Containment	0 15	x1	15	Assume inadequate containers	4
4 Waste Characteristics					
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	x5	15	white Lead Dust	4,13
5 Targets					
Pop. Within 1 mile	0 1 2 3 4 5	x4	20	Population within 1 mile radius $\approx 24,000$	10
Dist. to Crit. Habitat	0 1 2 3	x4	0	> 1 mile	5
	Total Targets Score		20		
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5			13,500		
7 Divide line 6 by 21,600 and multiply by 100			S _{DC} = 62.5		

REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION SHEET

Ref. #	DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE
1	Climatic Atlas of the United States, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, National Climatic Center, Asheville N.C., 1979. Figures 4 and 5.
2	Davis S.N., Porosity and Permeability of Natural Materials in Flow-Through Porous Media R.J.M. DeWest ed., Academic Press, New York, 1969
3	Freeze, R.A. and J.A. Cherry, <u>Groundwater</u> , Prentice-Hall, Inc., New York, 1979
4.	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Potential Hazardous Waste Site Preliminary Assessment for J.H. Morley and Company Cleveland, Ohio ID# OHD980 613 616 Prepared by Pam Wicks; 6-22-84.

REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION SHEET

Ref.#	DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE
5.	U.S. Geological Survey, 1984 Cleveland South Quadrangle 7.5 min. Series (Topographic) 1: 24,000.
6	Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States, 1963, Technical Paper 40, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Wash. D.C.
7	EPA Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR Part 230, Appendix A, 1980.
8	Jeffries, Jim. Chief of water puri- fication, Cleveland Water Works. (216) 664-3324. "100% of Cleveland's drinking water is obtained from Lake Erie." Info. obtained 2-18-86 by Steve Wisbaum

REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION SHEET

Ref. #	DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE
9	<p>Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Water, Cuyahoga County, Ohio. Well log and Drilling Report # 698451</p>
10	<p>Godwin, Jean. Research Department of the Greater Cleveland Growth Association. (216) 621-3300 "23,707 people in 1 mile radius" Info. obtained 2-18-86 by Steve Wisbaum.</p>
11	<p>Cleveland Cityhall, Survey and Platts Dept. (216) 664-2000. "In 1901 site existed at the corner of Canal and Cham- plain Streets... However, Champlain Street no longer exists, but an 1898 map in- dicates intersection is currently buried beneath railroad tracks." These tracks are owned by the Cleveland Union Terminal Company. 1-2-90</p>

REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION SHEET

Ref. #	DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE
12	Ohio Dept. of Natural Resources
	Division of Watercraft
	Fountain Square C-2.
	Columbus Ohio 43224
	Phone (614) 265-6430
13	Sax, Irving. <u>Dangerous Problems</u>
	of Industrial Materials. 6 th Ed.,
	1984